IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE DR JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU

WRIT PETITION NO : 20701 of 2004

Between:

1 P.A.Mary, d/o Amruthaiah, Conductor (E-175622)
Working in APSRTC, Kushaiguda Bus Depot, Hyderabad.
2 D.Mallaiah, s/o Meenaiah, Conductor (E-175575)
Working in APSRTC, Kushaiguda Bus Depot, Hyderabad.
3 S.Srinivas, s/o Narsaiah, Conductor (E-175702)
Working in APSRTC, Kushaiguda Bus Depot, Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1. The Regional Manager, APSRTC, Nalgonda Region at

Nalgonda.
2 The Depot Manager, APSRTC, Kushaiguda Bus Depot,

Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ or order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring that the action of the respondents in not regularising/decasualising the services of the petitioners with effect from 1-8-2000 i.e., the date of their juniors decasualisation is unjust and unreasonable, against the principles of natural justice and consequently direct the respondents to regularise/decasualise with effect from 1-8-2000 with all service benefits and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.P.VENKATESWAR RAO

Counsel for the Respondents: MR.V.T.M.PRASAD

The Court made the following :

HON'BLE Dr. JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU

WRIT PETITION No. 20701 of 2004

ORDER:

Petitioners have been working as casual Conductors in the respondents-Corporation. They contend that some of the casual Conductors who are juniors to them have been taken to the regular service whereas, the cases of the petitioners were not considered on the ground that the service particulars of the petitioners are not available due to non-sending of those particulars by the concerned Depot Managers. The petitioners being aggrieved by the action of the respondents in not regularizing their services approached this Court through this writ petition seeking a direction to the respondents to regularize their services.

2 . The petitioners appear to have not made any representation expressing their grievance to the 1st respondent. In the absence of any such representation, it is not desirable to issue any direction to the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners.

3. In the light of the above circumstances, the petitioners are directed to make

a representation to the 1st respondent and the 1st respondent after receipt of the said representation, shall examine the cases of the petitioners regarding their claim for regularization after getting necessary particulars from the respective Depot Managers where they previously worked and pass appropriate orders within three months from the date of receipt of this order by taking into consideration the representation of the petitioners that the services of the juniors to the petitioners were regularized.

4. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of.

_____

November 10, 2004.

KVR

To

1. The Regional Manager, APSRTC, Nalgonda Region at Nalgonda.
2 The Depot Manager, APSRTC, Kushaiguda Bus Depot, Hyderabad.

4. Two C.D.Copies.